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## Wisdom = Knowledge of the 'CAUSES'

We do not regard any of the senses as wisdom; yet surely these give the most authoritative knowledge of particulars. But they do not tell us the 'why' of anything - e.g., why fire is hot; they only say that it is hot.

Wisdom is knowledge about certain principles and causes.


## CASAS Competencies \& MD Content Standards for Adult ESOL

- Current strategies aim to equip students with the necessary 'life skills,' focusing on patterns of conversational exchanges.
- These interactive/ 'communicative' methods of language teaching, fairly dominant since the 1980s, rely largely on $1^{\text {st }}$ language acquisition mechanisms.
- Yet, adult students have the universal 'Language software' already 'installed' in their brains.

Can we utilize its power in teaching them the 'hows \& whys' of word behavior in speech (syntax)?

## To get at the 'causes' of syntax, let us:

1. Consider what
'Language' is \& how it works

2. Discuss different ways of teaching syntax

3. Practice some generalizing sentence analysis (G-nalysis)

G-nalysis focuses on the logical relationships between
word-meanings \& the 'chunks' they form in the sentence.

## Human languages are complex social communication systems.

To understand how a system works, we must identify its smallest functional unit \& study its properties

- they determine how the units behave in the whole:
> Galaxy : star; organism : cell; college : department; society : family;
> Language: ...???


## LANGUAGE IS VERBAL THOUGHT

Human LANGUAGE is qualitatively different from animal 'languages':

- Biological 'languages' are instinctive \& species-specific (products of the 'body')
- LANGUAGE is not instinctive; it is verbal thought (the product of actively thinking \& communicating human minds):

Each word is already a generalization ... a verbal act of thought; it reflects reality in quite another way than sensation and perception reflect it.

Vygotsky: Language and Thought, 1934.

## Speech = Thought in Words

Every WORD IS a GENERALIZATION - an ACT of COLLECTIVE SOCIAL THOUGHT :


Word = contiguity of concept, caused by perceived resemblance between experiences, connected in the collective mind of a society

## What we call 'Thinking' Is Connecting Ideas by resemblance, contiguity, \& cause/effect



All human minds associate ideas by

(1) resemblance, (2) contiguity in time/space, \& (3) Cause/effect.

## Hume:

These are the $\mathbf{3}$ universal principles of human understanding.

## Example 1: Why "Hooker's Lips" ?



This mechanism of thought explains pareidolia [,pærai'dərlıə] - the imagined perception of a pattern or meaning where it does not actually exist
http://www.collinsdictionary.com/dictionary/english/pareidolia


Mankind are so much the same, in all times and places, that history informs us of nothing new or strange...
Hume: An Enquiry Concerning Human Understanding, 1748.


La segunda venida

'Broken Hearts': our generalizing minds create meaning where there is none this is the natural way we think
(i.e., connect ideas by resemblance, contiguity, \& cause/effect)

To GENERALIZE = to CREATE MEANING = to MAKE SENSE of an experience

## The Process of Generalization

## Generalization involves both synthesis \& analysis: To see resemblances, we must also see the differences.

In order to form a concept, we must be able not only to connect, but also to abstract, to single out its characteristic elements, and to view them separately from the totality of the concrete experience in which they are embedded. ...

Synthesis and analysis presuppose each other, as inhalation presupposes exhalation.

# Language Is Creating Meaning in Words What IS its smallest unit that has all of its properties intact? 

## Descriptive Linguistics: <br> Phoneme Is the Smallest Unit of Language.

## But ... IS it, really?

Does it have all of its psycho-physical \& socio-historical properties?
Do phonemes possess independent meaning?
Can we communicate 'in phonemes'? [k]/[ñ]?

## Word-Meaning IS the Smallest Functional Unit of Language, because it has all its properties intact:

- Psychological: there is no word without meaning
- Physical: there is no meaning without the word; meaning comes into existence through words - they are the physical signs of meaning
- Social: the double function of every sign - to communicate meaning
- Historical: human minds live and think in time; their thoughts, embodied in words, reflect their 'worlds.'


## How do these properties of word-meanings shape their behavior in use?

We do not typically communicate in single words.

Born of mental associations, word-meanings readily associate with others, forming chunks of meaning* in use by living, thinking, and communicating human minds.
*Collocations, phrases, clauses, sentences, etc.

## Sentence: Words which say something about something

We communicate in sentences, the generalizations of our individual minds:
Every thought tends to connect something with something
 else, to establish a relationship between things. Every thought moves, grows and develops, fulfills a function, solves a problem.

Lev Vygotsky: 1934
Sentence-mosaics are complex generalizations.

## In use, word-meanings are associated by perceived resemblance

Collectively, we 'make sense' of the world, creating the words of our language: tree, $F B$, etc.


Word = Contiguity of concept, caused by perceived resemblance between experiences, connected in the collective memory of a society

Individually, we connect the words that we learned from society into sentences (wordmosaics), each with its own meaning:

## Peter eats squid : Squid eats Peter

The chicken comes before the egg : The egg comes before the chicken


Synthesis + Analysis $=$ generalization

## Synthesis \& Analysis:

2 universal principles of creating the meaning: not only of words \& phrases, but also of
whole word-mosaics (sentences)

## GENERALIZATION: the Mechanism of human understanding

Everytime I see a math word problem it looks like this: If I have 10 ice cubes and you have 11 apples, How many pancakes will fit on the roof? Answer:
Purple because aliens don't wear hats.
arrg! Êcards


## The rational language mechanism

This Mechanism of Generalization (Synthesis \& Analysis of ideas) is the Mechanism of Language (Synthesis \& Analysis of words).

This simple mechanism of SYNTHESIS \& ANALYSIS of word-meanings in speakers' minds
is KEY to UNDERSTANDING both
SYNTAX \& SEMANTICS

## The 1 ${ }^{\text {st }}$ Principle of Sentence Structure: synthesis

Every sentence-mosaic is a synthesis of what we talk about (S) \& what we say about it (predicate: the Verb with all the words that go with it), forming the composite meaning of the whole S/V/C nexus:

- Subject, or 'what the sentence is about'
- Verb, or what we say about the subject:


A verb is that which, in addition to its proper meaning, carries with it the notion of time. ... it is a sign of something said of something else. Aristotle: On Interpretation, Part 3

- Compliment: this 'slot' in the nexus may be left empty, but it can also be filled with direct/indirect objects (DO/IO), predicate nouns (PN), or predicate adjectives (PA).


## The $2^{\text {nd }}$ Principle of Sentence Structure: analysis

ANALYSIS (recursion) puts 'meat' on the 'bones' of the S/V/C nexus:
it adds detail, color, 'pixels' to parts of the sentence mosaic, zooming in on the nexus constituents, describing (or naming) them through associations by
resemblance, contiguity in space and time, and cause/effect.


Psycho-physical \& Socio-historical properties of word-meanings: $\rightarrow$

## PURPOSE of their use

We use words to build \& to communicate composite meanings complex generalizations.

Depending on their perceived purpose (synthesis or analysis), word-meanings/chunks of word-meanings carry out different functions in the sentence, called PARTS OF SPEECH

## 3 principles of human understanding \& 8 parts of speech

To think /communicate our individual thoughts
(i.e., our personal associations by resemblance, contiguity in space/time, \& cause/effect), we use words to name things/actions (noun/pronoun functions) and to describe them(adjective/adverb functions)

Words, thus functioning, form the 'body' of each sentence-mosaic, its 'bones' \& 'meat' which are held together by the 'connective tissue' of conjunctions \& prepositions.
Interjections are not parts of the sentence - they are 'thrown in' to give the 'body' its 'odor' - or 'fragrance' ©)

## THESE FUNCTIONS of WORD-MEANINGS ARE UNIVERSAL

Despite the diversity of forms, the structures of all of world's languages serve the same functions, expressing the 3 principles human understanding/verbal thought.

Because these functions of words (and groups of words) reflect the relationships between them (according to our human understanding) 'parts of speech' are equally 'parts of thought' in words and are, thus, the same in all of the world's languages.

## The Rational Language Mechanism - GENERALIZATION

Each Language Has Its Own "Units" \& "Rules" for making word-mosaics, BUT
all of them share the same 'mechanism' of creating meaning Connecting Word-Meanings by Resemblance, Contiguity in Space/Time, \& Cause/Effect.

## 'Chunks' of words can function as one part of speech

In all languages, single word-meanings, as well as groups of wordmeanings (phrases and clauses) can serve one purpose (function as one part of speech), i.e.:

Man is an animal suspended in the webs of significance he himself has spun.

## Language - a social 'spinning wheel'

"Language is social means of thought"
(Vygotsky: 1934).

As individuals, we all learn to use this social tool, the 'spinning wheel' of Language, to spin our individual
'webs of significance'
out of the yarn of word-meanings we share.


## The more complex our thoughts,

the more complex the mosaic images
we create.

Grouper Swallowing Fisherman - Roman
mosaic (Tunis)

## To Use a tool, we must learn how it works

To use my new camcorder, I had to read the instructions booklet.

To speak well, our students must learn how language works \& why it does so.

How can we explain how language works SIMPLY?

## Viewed as the natural expression of

the way we think, syntax becomes easy -
to understand, and to teach.

## My teaching strategy:

## I. To Explain the concepts of

1. Language as verbal thought; 'word' as the smallest unit of language - the generalization of our collective mind
2. 'sentence' mosaic - a unit of 'individualizes' meaning; its universal 'parts' (SVC); importance of verb conjugation
3. different languages - different 'units \& rules,' But the same 'rational mechanism'


## All mosaics express the artist's meaning:

But I love you $\neq$ I love you, but...
[practical: building mosaics/ puzzles in class]


## "TOOLBOX" FOR SENTENCE ANALYSIS: BASIC CONCEPTS

- Sentence = word-mosaic saying something about something
- Parts of speech = functions of words/ chunks of words in the sentence
- Phrase = a 'chunk' of words functioning as one adjective, adverb or noun
- Clause $=$ a phrase that has sentence structure $\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$


## My teaching strategy:

## II. To Explain

1. Functions of words in the sentence: reflections of human understanding (parts of speech);
2. Phrases \& clauses: groups of words can function as one noun, adjective or adverb
3. Two principles of sentence structure: synthesis \& analysis
4. Practical sentence analysis:

- 'Putting meat on the bones'
- G-nalysis of 'live’ sentences;
- Gnalysis step 1 aims to identify
- All $\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{cs}$ in the sentence \&
- How all words/'chunks' of word-meanings relate to each other (by asking the logical 'journalistic' questions: what/who? Which? How? when/? Where? Why?
- Gnalysis step 2 - diagram all svcs:
- independent -
- Dependent - ( $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{Adj} .$, adv.)


## Examples of G-nalysis:

## A yawn is a silent scream for coffee -



## Practical gnalysis

|  | $S_{2}$ | $V_{2}$ |  | $\mathrm{C}_{2(\mathrm{PN})}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}_{1(\mathrm{DO})}$ | S1 |  | S3 | $V_{3}$ |
| //What you allow / is / what will continue // |  |  |  |  |

## gnalysis



## G-nalysis



## gnalysis



## Plurality of interpretations:



1. // This / is / how / baby giraffes / sleep //

Noun clause
Complex sentence
$\begin{array}{lll}\mathrm{S}_{1} & \mathrm{~V}_{1} & \mathrm{C}_{1} \text { (ZERO) }\end{array}$
$\mathrm{S}_{2} \quad \mathrm{~V}_{2}$
2. // This / is how / baby giraffes / sleep //


Adverb of Manner $\boldsymbol{\wedge}$


## gnalysis

Which scandal?
Which one? Which one?

$\begin{array}{lll}S_{3} & V_{3} & C_{3(P N)}\end{array} \mathrm{S}_{4} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{~V}_{4}$ // The scandal that has legs/ is / the GSA one - / that/'s / the one / that /sticks //.


## gnalysis

Which scandal?



## gnalysis



S/V/C \# 1: All saw [what happened]
S/V/C \# 2: Who were there
S/V/C \#3: what happened


Main S/V/C: All saw what happened.
Dependent SVCs:

- who were there = Adjective clause (describes 'All')
- what happened = Noun clause (names what all saw)
"We make a living from what we get. We make a life from what we give" - Winston Churchill


## G-nalysis1:



## G-nalysis 2:

"We make a living from what we get. We make a life from what we give" - Winston Churchill
$\mathrm{S}_{1} \quad \mathrm{~V}_{1} \quad \mathrm{C}_{1}(\mathrm{DO})$
// We / make / a living /from what/ we / get //.


Complex sentences


## To Conclude: major generalizations

- Syntax is the study of word-meaning behavior in the sentence.
- Speakers build composite 'chunks' of meaning (phrases, clauses \& sentences) out of word-meanings (born of associations by resemblance, contiguity in space/time, \& cause/effect in the collective mind of a society) by the same principal associations (the universal principles of human understanding).
- 2 basic principles of sentence structure in all human languages:
- Synthesis (S+V+C), \&
- Analysis ('recursion')
- Gnalysis helps students see the logical relationships between words \& 'chunks' of wordmeanings in the sentence - it makes syntax logically comprehensible.
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